

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,998		07/24/2003	Mark B. Lyles	068351.0142	9896
31625	7590	05/05/2005		EXAM	INER
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.				LEWIS, PATRICK T	
PATENT DE	EPARTMI	ENT			
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039				1623	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11/					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/625,998	LYLES, MARK B.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Patrick T. Lewis	1623						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of th Id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	February 2005.							
•	·							
,-								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims		1						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 10-15 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 10-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	Examinor. Note the attach.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09182003</u>. 	-, -,	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of vitamins as the species preserved from oxidative damage in the reply filed on February 24, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has failed to particularly and distinctly set forth the steps of the instantly claimed method. Specifically, applicant's use of the phrase "further comprising" renders said claims indefinite as it is unclear if the text following said phrase is intended to denote an additional step or a more detailed description of the first step. Based on the examiner's review of the specification, the method of preserving vitamins employs a single step which conflicts with the instant claim language.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,998 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

5. Claims 1, 2, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Ghosal US 6,235,721 (Ghosal).

Ghosal discloses the combination of DNA and vitamin C or a vitamin C/E blend

(column 14). Ghosal is silent on the preservation of vitamins with the DNA; however,

artisans of ordinary skill may not recognize the inherent characteristics or functioning of

the prior art. In construing process claims and references, it is the identity of

manipulative operations which leads to finding of anticipation. In the instant case, it

does not appear that the claim language or limitations result in a manipulative difference

in the method steps when compared to the prior art disclosure.

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Ghosal US 6,235,721 (Ghosal).

Ghosal discloses the combination of DNA and vitamin C or a vitamin C/E blend

(column 14).

Conclusion

7. Claims 1-2 and 10-15 are pending. Claims 1-2 and 10-15 are rejected. No

claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1623

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-0655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am to 3 pm (Maxi Flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick T. Lewis, PhD

Examiner
Art Unit 1623

ptl